

**Martin Brown - Future development around Canberra Airport. Have your say. Dated April 2013**

---

**From:** "Dirk Navara" <allinclusivebs@bigpond.com>  
**To:** <martin.brown@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 23/05/2013 9:46 AM  
**Subject:** Future development around Canberra Airport. Have your say. Dated April 2013  
**CC:** <monaro@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, <"mailto:Mike.Kelly.MP@aph.gov.au">  
**Attachments:** 2009 Draft Submission.docx; aircraft noise 3.docx; Canberra Airport Community Aviation Consultation Group.docx; Mike Kelly 28 Dec 2009.docx; Mike Kelly Letter 11112012.docx; Response to J Ballilaro 22052013 (2).docx; signed petition.pdf; Submission to the National Aviation Policy 2009 Green Paper.doc; The Hon Anthony Albanese MP - 010909.docx; The Honourable Nathan Rees MP.docx; tim overall.docx

---

Dear Martin,

Thank you for listening to my concerns about our situation living under the flight path on the southern end of the Canberra international Airport. We are about 14klms south of the airport and slightly to the west of the ILS. We have written to the Federal government, state and local government and it seems that the people and governments are seeking the economic benefits of the airport with no consideration to the small amount of people who absorb all their noise.

I have lived here for nearly 30 years and apparently through supposed 'extensive and affective public consultation' noise sharing was abandoned and the so called high noise corridor was established. I was never informed or notified about this process and we were part of a group of residents that would be the most affected. This in itself is a disgrace, let alone the efforts of the airport to help the people who supported the changes to inform them and help write submissions. No matter to which government body you speak to, they all seem to be able to side step the issues. The Federal government states I should speak to Airservices Australia and the Ombudsman or the airport. Even though they at first seem sympathetic to our concerns, they say we cannot do anything. State Governments simply state that it is a federal issue and then choose to simply ignore us.

I have a petition with approximately 420 signatures stating that they have concerns about aircraft noise and the lack of a curfew. We have hand held readings of aircraft noise over our house and we are suffering noise of up to 84 db. Sometimes our house shakes as the aircraft fly over us.

In your document you seem in favour of the airports expansion and its 24 hr operation and how it will bring economic benefits to our region, I can assure you that in my small economy it will have a huge negative impact as well as health concerns through lack of sleep and pure frustration. In some countries they use sleep deprivation as a form of torture. Also, the document just states that there are approximately 800 landowners within the ANEF 20 contour and nothing else about them, as usual, no consideration on how the airports expansion will affect them. Is this what our fellow Australians are doing to us? What we need is support, not blanket statements stating that it is a Federal issue and we should see them. State laws are responsible for zoning of land and the Queanbeyan City Council rezoned our land from rural land to rural residential, supposedly to be able to support us better, well that never happened and it was just for more revenue. They don't give a dam about us, the same as the Federal and state governments and we seem to be the people that are expected to absorb all their air noise with the thought that we should just stop complaining because we built under a flight path. Again, and I have said this so many times before, when I built here there were not many aircraft flying over us as they intersected the ILS at points well before us to land at the airport. So in that case we all were under the flight path.

Things change and I can accept that, but when a majority changes something purely for their convenience and state the economic benefits from it, they should also consider the supposed 0.1% of people that are so greatly affected, just so the rest of the community can get richer. This is morally wrong and I find it deeply offensive that people are like this and I believe that it may be constitutionally and or legally wrong, but I do not have the resources to fight governments.

Sydney Airport has a curfew and now noise sharing is again being used. We have not much noise here other than the airport, so when the aircraft fly over us, we go from hardly no background noise to up to 84db, which makes our situation even worse! Maybe the state government can support us by lobbying the federal government to reintroducing noise sharing and or a curfew. At the very least, fix our houses so that the impacts are greatly reduced.

This is our dilemma and I would like for you to put this and the letters that I have attached as part of a submission Proposed Planning Policy- Canberra Airport.

Please, if there is something more that I can write, could you advise me.

Thanks and regards

Dirk Navara

1330 Old Cooma Road

Googong NSW 2620

0417455445

[allinclusivebs@bigpond.com](mailto:allinclusivebs@bigpond.com)